

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/780,981	02/18/2004	Christian Gobl	5454-4	5454-4 3322		
27799 7.	590 10/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	NTANI, LIEBERMAN &	GUSHI, I	GUSHI, ROSS N			
551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10176			2833			
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/780,98	1	GOBL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ross N. G		2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
[′] 4a) 0 5)∏ Claiı 6)⊠ Claiı 7)∏ Claiı	4) Claim(s) / 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) / 2, y is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application P	apers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/1/4/s/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice of D 3) Information	references Cited (PTO-892) Paraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Paraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Paraftsperson's PTO-1449 or PTO-9 Paraftsperson's PTO-1449 or PTO-9 Paraftsperson's PTO-1449 or PTO-9		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/780,981

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in —
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a);

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan et al. ("Chan"). Per claim 1, Chan discloses a pressure piece 64 capable of effecting pressure contact on the module as recited, where the pressure piece is dimensionally stable and includes pressure elements on a primary face to space the primary face from a circuit board.

Per claim 2, the pressure piece has at least one edge, a second primary face and at least one opening connecting the first and second primary faces, and the pressure elements are disposed such that a fluid may flow between the at least one edge and the at least one opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/780,981

Art Unit: 2833

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan as in claim 1.

Regarding claim 4, to the extent that Chan does not state that the piece is made of plastic, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make it out of insulating stable plastic, such material being well known in the art. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended purpose would have been obvious. Sinclair & Carroll Col. V. Interchemical Corp., 65 USPQ 297 (1945); In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197 (CCPA 1960).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 3 and 5-9, the prior art does not suggest the piece as claimed, including the combination of all the claimed elements, the combination including the insulated sheath, the metal core, the rib, or prong as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/780,981

Art Unit: 2833

supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4